







Statement to the Human Rights Council 12th Session, Geneva Panel Discussion on Migrants in Detention Centres

17 September, 2009

We, the undersigned 141 organizations, welcome the resolution of the Human Rights Council to hold this panel discussion in order to explore the issue of detention of migrants, including ways to reduce the use of detention, preventative measures to ensure against human rights violations within places of detention, and also possible solutions to this ongoing problem.

Background

It is with great concern that we, the undersigned, note the increasing use of various forms of detention as a migration management tool by numerous States.

With less and less distinction made as to their different legal statuses under national as well as international law, and with decreasing attention to specific vulnerabilities of age, gender and circumstance, irregular migrants, refugees and asylum seekers are being detained in some or more of the following ways:

- In removal centres, immigration detention facilities, prisons, police stations, airports, hotels, in ships and shipping containers, as well as in closed camps
- On arrival in a country, pending a final immigration decision, or while awaiting removal from the country.

Worldwide, immigration and asylum decisions may take months or years, during which time men, women and children can languish in often overcrowded and unhygienic conditions. Many human rights violations can and do occur in these circumstances. In some cases there is little or no independent oversight of detention conditions or reasons for detention, and many migrants are denied access to bail hearings and to judicial review.

Refugees and asylum-seekers, who need international protection having fled their countries of origin owing to persecution, other serious human rights abuses, or armed conflict, are being denied access to the asylum and protection procedures to which international law entitles them. Stateless persons and others without documentation who are unable to be removed from the country may face being detained indefinitely. Non-criminal migrants are being mixed with criminal inmates, genders mixed in shared detention quarters, and children mixed with unrelated adults. Women and minors, particularly unaccompanied minors, are especially vulnerable to violence and abuse in such circumstances. The negative impact of even short-term detention on the mental health of individuals is now well documented, particularly for children¹.

Migration-related detention not only creates incredible hardships on those in detention, it also separates families, disrupts communities and diverts both governmental and non-governmental actors from more humane, reasonable and cost-effective alternatives to detention.

Human rights standards for detained migrants

In considering comprehensive, integrated and balanced responses to the issue of migration-related detention, we would like to outline a number of international and regional human rights standards that States should consider before making a decision to detain a person for migration-related purposes:

- As a general rule, certain classes of individuals should not be placed in migrationrelated detention, even if they lack proper documentation or are irregular migrants, including:
 - o Refugees and asylum seekers
 - o Children
 - o Pregnant women and nursing mothers
 - o Survivors of torture or trauma
 - o Victims of human trafficking
 - o The elderly and disabled
 - Those in need of urgent physical or mental health care, including victims of violence suffered in transit.²
- Refugees and asylum seekers should not be detained or penalized because they were compelled to enter a country irregularly or without proper documentation and must have the opportunity to seek asylum in a fair and effective asylum procedure. Doing so will bring a State into conflict with international human rights and refugee laws³.
- Children should not be detained or separated from their caregivers for migrationrelated purposes. Their best interests, including their right to development, must be protected in accordance with the Convention on the Rights of the Child.⁴
- For all classes of migrants, States should only use detention as a measure of last resort, after having considered whether there are less invasive means of achieving the same objectives, such as open centres, sureties, bail or reporting requirements.⁵
- If used, detention must be necessary and proportionate to the objective of initial identity, security or health checks, or otherwise to prevent absconding or in compliance with an expulsion order.⁶

- No one should be subject to indefinite detention. Indefinite detention is inhumane and contrary to international human rights law. In the case of refugees and asylum-seekers, it amounts to a penalty contrary to international refugee law. Detention should be for the shortest possible time, and specific maximum limits on the length of detention must be set out in law and strictly adhered to.⁷
- No one shall be subject to arbitrary detention. Decisions to detain must be exercised in accordance with fair policy and procedures and subject to regular independent judicial review. All detainees must be advised of the reasons for their detention and must have the right to challenge the lawfulness of their detention in a court, which must include the right to access legal counsel and the power of the court to release the detained individual.⁸
- Detention must ensure the human rights and dignity of the person and that conditions of detention comply with basic minimum human rights standards, including but not limited to access to legal counsel, healthcare, including in particular for pregnant and nursing women, provision of nutritious food, sanitary conditions, education for children, and other services.⁹
- Generally, different categories of detainees should be kept in separate facilities taking into account their gender, age and need for any special treatment.¹⁰
- There must be regular independent monitoring of places of detention to ensure that these standards are met. States should consider ratifying the Optional Protocol to the Convention against Torture, which provides a strong legal basis for regular and independent monitoring of places of detention by both national bodies and an international sub-committee.¹¹

In conclusion, we encourage all States to consider and implement legislation and policy that ensures the above international human right standards are maintained and upheld.

We note with concern the growing political environment where undocumented migrants are termed as illegal; we reiterate the call from the UN GA resolution 3449 of 9 December 1975 which recommended the use of the term "non-documented or irregular" rather than 'illegal' since the latter tends to criminalize the migrants.

We also note the statement of the Working Group on Arbitrary Detention in its February Report to this Human Rights Council:

The Working Group has noted with concern, during the period reported upon, a development yet again towards tightening restrictions, including deprivation of liberty, applied to asylum-seekers, refugees and immigrants in an irregular situation even to the extent of making the irregular entry into a State a criminal offence or qualifying the irregular stay in the country as an aggravating circumstance for any criminal offence¹².

They continue:

It was felt that States should be reminded that detention shall be the last resort and permissible only for the shortest period of time and that alternatives to detention should be sought whenever possible¹³.

International good practice

It is in this regard that we commend the growing international examples of good practice around the world, where States have:

- Introduced legislation to not detain refugees, asylum seekers and irregular migrants in the first instance, including children, and other vulnerable groups¹⁴;
- Introduced independent, regular monitoring of places of detention¹⁵; and
- Developed community-based alternatives to detention models, such as public-private partnerships with NGOs that provide specialized assistance, information, legal provision and counseling for refugees, asylum seekers, children, families and victims of torture, human trafficking and trauma, including supports for rehabilitation, return and reintegration.¹⁶

These models have in many instances led to high levels of compliance by individuals with immigration requirements, with the majority of individuals maintaining contact with the authorities and departing the country if refused the right to remain. They are also vastly cheaper than traditional detention and removal processes. These models have met government expectations, while ensuring the rights, dignity and wellbeing of refugees, asylum seekers and migrants are maintained.¹⁷ They also provide a useful starting point for the exploration of comprehensive, integrated and balanced solutions to the detention of migrants.

This statement was jointly prepared by the International Detention Coalition (IDC), Migrant Forum in Asia (MFA), Migrants' Rights International (MRI) and the National Network for Immigrant and Refugee Rights (NNIRR), and endorsed by the following organizations:

- 1. A Just Australia (AJA), Australia
- 2. Act for Peace, National Council of Churches in Australia (NCCA), Australia
- 3. Action Network for Migrant Workers, Sri Lanka
- 4. Africa Internally Displaced Persons Voice (Africa IDP Voice), Zambia
- 5. Africa Roots Movement, The Netherlands
- 6. American Civil Liberties Union (ACLU) of Georgia, USA
- 7. American Friends Service Committee (AFSC), USA
- 8. American Gateways (Formerly PAPA), USA
- 9. Amnesty International (AI) International Secretariat, UK
- 10. Anjuman Asiaye Awam, Pakistan
- 11. Arunodhaya Migrant Initiatives, India
- 12. Ärzte der Welt e.V., (Doctors of the World), Germany
- 13. ASEAN Trade Union Council
- 14. Association for Community Development, Bangladesh
- 15. Ateneo Human Rights Center, Philippines
- 16. Australian National Committee on Refugee Women (ANCORW), Australia
- 17. Bail for Immigration Detainees (BID), UK

- 18. Bonded Labour Liberation Front, Pakistan
- 19. British Refugee Council (Refugee Council), UK
- 20. Canadian Quaker Committee for Refugees, Canada
- 21. Caritas Lebanon Migrant Center, Lebanon
- 22. Center for Education and Communication, India
- 23. Center for Indian Migrants Studies
- 24. Center for Migrant Advocacy, Philippines
- 25. Centre for Citizenship, Development & Human Rights, Faculty of Arts & Education, Deakin University, Australia
- 26. Centre for Human Rights Education, Curtin University, Australia
- 27. Children Out of Detention (ChilOut), Australia
- 28. Cobb (County) Immigrant Alliance, USA
- 29. Committee for Asian Women, Thailand
- 30. Commission for Filipino Migrant Workers (CFMW), The Netherlands
- 31. Community Development Services, Sri Lanka
- 32. Confederation of Voluntary Associations (COVA), India
- 33. Coordinated Action Research on AIDS and Migration (CARAM) Asia, Malaysia
- 34. Coordinated Action Research on AIDS and Migration (CARAM), Cambodia
- 35. Consultative Council of Jewish Organisations (CCJO), UK
- 36. Creed Alliance, Pakistan
- 37. Crossing Borders, UK
- 38. Democracy Today, Armenia
- 39. Development Action for Women Network, Philippines
- 40. Egyptian Foundation for Refugee Rights, Egypt
- 41. Equal Rights Trust, UK
- 42. Etnica Integral, Republica Dominicana
- 43. Fahamu Refugee Programme, Fahamu Trust, UK
- 44. Federation of Trade Unions, Burma
- 45. Filipino Women's Council, Italy
- 46. Filipinos for Affirmative Action, USA
- 47. Florida Immigrant Advocacy Center (FIAC), USA
- 48. Focus on the Global South-Philippines Programme
- 49. Frontiers Ruwad Association, Lebanon
- 50. Global Alliance against Traffic in Women (GAATW), International
- 51. Health Equity Initiatives (HEI), Malaysia
- 52. Hebrew Immigrant Aid Society (HIAS), USA
- 53. Helsinki Citizens' Assembly (hCa), Turkey
- 54. Hope Workers' Center, Taiwan
- 55. Hotham Mission Asylum Seeker Project (ASP), Australia
- 56. Human Rights First (HRF), USA
- 57. Human Rights Watch (HRW) International
- 58. Idas y Vueltas, Uruguay
- 59. IMA Research Foundation, Bangladesh
- 60. Indonesia Legal Aid Foundation (YLBHI), Indonesia
- 61. Interfaith Coalition on Immigration (ICOM), USA
- 62. International Catholic Migration Commission (ICMC), Switzerland
- 63. International Center of Atlanta, USA
- 64. International Federation of Business and Professional Women
- 65. International Institute for Human Rights, Environment and Development

(INHURED International), Nepal

- 66. International Presentation Association of the Sisters of the Presentation, USA
- 67. International Women's Rights Action Watch (IWRAW), Asia Pacific
- 68. Jesuit Migrant Services, Mexico
- 69. Jesuit Refugee Service (JRS), Australia
- 70. Jesuit Refugee Service (JRS), Belgium
- 71. Jesuit Refugee Service (JRS), Canada
- 72. Jesuit Refugee Service (JRS)- European Regional Office
- 73. Jesuit Refugee Service (JRS), Germany
- 74. Jesuit Refugee Service (JRS), Malta
- 75. Jubilee South International
- 76. Kent Refugee Help, UK
- 77. Korean Public Interest Lawyers Group (GONGGAM), South Korea
- 78. La Trobe Refugee Research Centre (LaRRC), Australia
- 79. Law Offices of Morrie Pour Nourian, USA
- 80. Lawyers for Human Rights (LHR), South Africa
- 81. Legal Clinic for Refugees and Immigrants (LCRI), Bulgaria
- 82. Legal Support for Women and Children, Cambodia
- 83. Liberty in North Korea (LiNK), USA/South Korea
- 84. London Cross Cultural Learner Centre, UK
- 85. London Detainee Support Group (LDSG), UK
- 86. Lutheran Immigration and Refugee Service (LIRS), USA
- 87. MAP Foundation, UK
- 88. MARUAH (Singapore Working Group on ASEAN Human Rights Mechanism)
- 89. Maternity Action, UK
- 90. Medact, UK
- 91. Medical Foundation for the Care of Victims of Torture, UK
- 92. Migrant Services Centre- National Workers Congress (MSC/NWC), Sri Lanka
- 93. Migrants Rights Council, India
- 94. Mindanao Migrants Center for Empowering Actions, Inc., Philippines
- 95. Monitoring Sustainability of Globalisation, Malaysia
- 96. Muttahida Labour Federation, Pakistan
- 97. National Human Rights Society of Malaysia (HAKAM)
- 98. National Immigrant Justice Center, USA
- 99. National Workers Party, Pakistan
- 100. Nepal Institute of Development Studies (NIDS), Nepal
- 101. Network of Action for Migrants in Malaysia (NAMM), Malaysia
- 102. Pakistan International Human Rights Organization (PIHRO), Pakistan
- 103. Pakistan Rural Workers Social Welfare Organization, Pakistan
- 104. Pakistan Social Forum, Pakistan
- 105. Pax Romana International
- 106. Peoples' Watch, India
- 107. Physicians for Human Rights, USA
- 108. Platform for Filipino Migrant Organisations in Europe
- 109. Redfish Bluefish Creative, Australia
- 110. Refugee Council of Australia (RCOA), Australia
- 111. RenéCassin, UK
- 112. RESPECT Network Europe
- 113. Service droit des Jeunes, Plate-forme Mineurs en exil- Platform Kinderen op

de Vlucht (Platform Minors in Exile), Belgium

- 114. Sin Fronteras, Mexico
- 115. Solidaritas Perempuan (Women's Solidarity for Human Rights), Indonesia
- 116. Solidarity for Migrants in Japan
- 117. Southwest Workers Union, USA
- 118. St. Peter's Housing Committee, USA
- 119. Suara Rakyat Malaysia (SUARAM), Malaysia
- 120. Taiwan Association for Human Rights, Taiwan
- 121. Teachers & Employees' Association for Change, Education Reforms and Solidarity (TEACHERS, INC), Philippines
- 122. The Advocates for Human Rights, USA
- 123. The Arakan Project, Thailand
- 124. Transient Workers Count Too, Singapore
- 125. Transnational Institute, International
- 126. Transnational Migrant Platform, The Netherlands
- 127. U.S. Committee for Refugees and Immigrants (USCRI) Thailand
- 128. UNANIMA International, USA
- 129. UNI-Global Union MLC Kuala Lumpur, Migrant Help Desk, Malaysia
- 130. Union International Asia Pacific Regional Office (UNI APRO)
- 131. Union Migrant Indonesia, Indonesia
- 132. United Methodist Women, USA
- 133. US Committee for Refugees & Immigrants (USCRI), Thailand
- 134. Victorian Foundation for Survivors of Torture (VFST), Australia
- 135. WARBE Development Foundation, Bangladesh
- 136. Women and Media Collective, Sri Lanka
- 137. Women's Refugee Commission (WRC), USA
- 138. Women's Rehabilitation Center (WOREC), Nepal
- 139. Worker Hub for Change (WH4C), Malaysia
- 140. World Christian Life Community, International
- 141. World Organization for Human Rights, USA

NOTES

Protection of the Rights of All Migrant Workers and Members of their Families (1990), art. 39(2); UNHCR Revised

¹ International Detention Coalition, Children in Immigration Detention- Position Paper, November 2007 ² 1951 Geneva Convention Relating to the Status of Refugees, art. 31(1); Convention on the Rights of the Child, articles 3(1), 22(1), 37(c)(1990); OHCHR Recommended Principles and Guidelines on Human Rights and Human Trafficking, E/2002/68/Add.1 (20 May 2002), Guideline 2, 6, Guideline 4, 5, Guideline 6, 1; UNHCR Revised Guidelines on Applicable Standards Relating to the Detention of Asylum Seekers, §§ 6, 7, 8, 9, 22, 37 7, 8,10(Feb. 1999); UN Standard Minimum Rules for the Treatment of Prisoners (1977), Rules 8, 53(1). ³ 1951 Geneva Convention Relating to the Status of Refugees, art. 31(1); Convention on the Rights of the Child, articles 3(1), 22(1), 37(c)(1990);; UNHCR ExCom Conclusion No. 97 (LIV-2003), (a)(vi); UNHCR ExCom Conclusion No. 85 (XLIX-1998) (ee); UNHCR ExCom Conclusion No. 55 (XL-1989) (g); UNHCR ExCom Conclusion No. 44 (XXXVII-1986) (a); UNHCR ExCom Conclusion No. 22 (XXXII-1981), 2; UNHCR Revised Guidelines on Applicable Standards Relating to the Detention of Asylum Seekers, § 1 (Feb. 1999). ⁴ Convention on the Rights of the Child, articles 3(1), 9(1), 22(1), 37 (1990).

^{5 1951} Geneva Convention Relating to the Status of Refugees, art. 31(2); International Convention on the Protection of the Rights of All Migrant Workers and Members of their Family (1990), art. 39(1); UNHCR Revised Guidelines on Applicable Standards Relating to the Detention of Asylum Seekers, § 3 (Feb. 1999); UNHCR ExCom Conclusion No. 44 (XXXVII-1986); UNHCR Revised Guidelines on Applicable Standards Relating to the Detention of Asylum Seekers, § 3 (Feb. 1999); UNHCR ExCom Conclusion No. 44 (XXXVII-1986). The principle of proportionality supports the use of detention only as a measure of last resort. Under this principle, any measure taken by a government affecting a basic human right – such as the right to liberty – must be: appropriate in order to achieve the objective desired; necessary in order to achieve that objective; and, reasonable.

6 1951 Geneva Convention Relating to the Status of Refugees, art. 31(2); International Convention on the

Guidelines on Applicable Standards Relating to the Detention of Asylum Seekers, § 3 (Feb. 1999); UNHCR ExCom Conclusion No. 44 (XXXVII-1986).

⁷ UNHCR Revised Guidelines on Applicable Standards Relating to the Detention of Asylum Seekers, §§ 3, 9 (Feb. 1999); UNHCR ExCom Conclusion No. 85 (XLIX-1998); Working Group on Arbitrary Detention, Deliberation Number 5, E/CN.4/2000, 4, Annex II, 2000, Principle 7.

International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (1966), articles 2(3),9(1), 9(2), 9(4); Convention on the Rights of the Child, art. 37(b) (1990); International Convention on the Protection of the Rights of All Migrant Workers and Members of their Families (1990), articles 16(4), 16(5), 16((7), 16(8), 18(3)(d); UNHCR Revised Guidelines on Applicable Standards Relating to the Detention of Asylum Seekers, 1, §§ 3, 5, 9 (Feb. 1999); UNHCR ExCom Conclusion No. 44 (XXXVII-1986), § (e).; Report of UN Special Rapporteur on the Human Rights of Migrants, Gabriela Rodriquez Pizarro, E/CN.4/2003/85, Recommendation 75.; Report of Special Rapporteur on Torture and other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment of Punishment, Theo van Boven, E/CN.4/2003/68, 26(g); UN Body of Principles for the Protection of All Persons under Any Form of Detention or Imprisonment (1988), Principles 4, 9, 11(1), 11(2), 13, 14, 32; Conclusions and Recommendations of the Working Group on Arbitrary Detention, E/CN.4/2004/3, 85, 86; Report of the Working Group on Arbitrary Detention, E/CN.4/2004/3/Add.3 (2004), Recommendations, 75; Report of the Working Group on Arbitrary Detention, Deliberation Number 5, E/CN.4/4, Annex 11, 2000, Principle 8; UN Body of Principles for the Protection of All Persons Under Any Form of Detention of Imprisonment (1988), Principles 11(1), 14, 17(1), 18(1). International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (1966), articles 7, 10(1); Convention against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment and Punishment (1984), art. 16(1); Convention on the Rights of the Child, articles 3, 22(1), 37(1990); International Convention on the Protection of Human Rights of All Migrants and Their Families (1990), art. 17; UNHCR Revised Guidelines on Applicable Standards Relating to the Detention of Asylum Seekers, §§ 7, 8, 9, 10(Feb. 1999); UN Body of Principles for the Protection of All Persons under Anv Form of Detention or Imprisonment (1988), Principles 1, 3, 6, 28.

¹⁰ UN Standard Minimum Rules for the Treatment of Prisoners, Rule 8 (1977); UNHCR Revised Guidelines on Appliable Criteria and Standards Relating to the Detention of Asylum Seekers, § 8 (Feb. 1999).

¹¹ Convention against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment (1984), art. 11; Optional Protocol to the UN Convention Against Torture (2006), art. 1; UN Body of Principles for the Protection of All Persons Under Any Form of Detention or Imprisonment (1988) Principle 29, art. 1.
See also: Amnesty International: Migration-related detention: A Research Guide on human rights standards relevant to the detention of migrants, asylum-seekers and refugees, November 2007; UNHCR Selected Documents Relating To Detention, Division of International Protection Services, February, 2009.
¹² Report of the Working Group on Arbitrary Detention to the Human Rights Council, February 2009, D.65, page

23 ¹³ Report of the Working Group on Arbitrary Detention to the Human Rights Council, February 2009, D.67, page 23

23
http://idc.rfbf.com.au/wp-content/uploads/2009/05/childrenpositionpape.pdf (includes references to Hungarian law introduced to prohibit the immigration detention of children; and changes to the Australian Migration Act in 2005 in which a child can only be detained in immigration detention as a matter of last resort); http://idc.rfbf.com.au/wp-content/uploads/2009/06/asylumseekersinsweden.doc (includes reference to Swedish law that a child not be detained for longer than 3 days; and the use of detention as a last resort)

¹⁵ http://www.apt.ch/content/view/40/82/lang.en/ (includes references to States that have ratified OPCAT); http://www.apt.ch/content/view/138/152/lang.en/ (Includes references to States that have introduced National Preventative Mechanisms)

16 http://www.detention-in-

europe.org/content/view/12/39/index.php?Itemid=137&id=228&option=com_content&task=view (Includes reference to Belgian policy change to pilot alternatives to the detention of children and fammilies); Fields, Ophelia, with assistance of Alice Edwards, *Alternatives to Detention of Asylum Seekers and Refugees*, UNHCR Legal and Protection Policy Research Series, April 2006, available at: http://www.unhcr.org/4474140a2.pdf; Mitchell, Grant, Case Management as an alternative to immigration detention- The Australian Experience, International Detention Coalition, June 2009, (Includes reference to the development of community-based alternatives in Australia) available at: http://idc.rfbf.com.au/idc-report-case-management-as-an-alternative-to-detention-the-australian-experience/

¹⁷ Mitchell, Grant, Case Management as an alternative to immigration detention-The Australian Experience, International Detention Coalition, June 2009.