Alternatives to Immigration Detention Roadmap for the Global Compacts

Milestones	i. We will develop National Action Plans for reducing unnecessary immigration detention and expanding non-custodial alternatives to detention	ii. We will provide detailed reports on our use of immigration detention and alternatives each year	iii. We will implement and expand alternatives to immigration detention	iv. We will establish a presumption against detention in law and regulation	v. We will report on our progress in reducing unnecessary immigration detention and expanding alternatives at international and regional intergovernmental forums on migration, and during UPR reporting procedures
Timeframe	June 2019	December 2019 and then annually	Pilot projects by June 2020 National rollout by June 2025	By June 2025	Beginning 2019
Messages	Comprehensive, collaborative national action plans are needed to effectively implement this. Such plans will need to outline clear responsibilities, with coordination and collaboration between government, civil society, UN, INGOs, and migrant and refugee communities. It is encouraged that the National Action Plan review: Current caseload National law and regulation regarding detention, alternatives and oversight Processing systems including screening and assessment Placement options including with and without restrictions Rights / minimum standards Supports including case management Funding must be made available to develop and implement these plans, including for monitoring and evaluation.	Public reporting on immigration detention and on the use of alternatives is an important step in working towards monitoring and limiting the application of detention and restrictive measures. Reporting should include a range of demographic information to assist with the development of appropriate alternatives. This would include reporting on the following factors: Number of people detained Gender Age Grounds for detention The places in which people are detained Any conditions applied when placed in a community setting	Alternatives to immigration detention must be developed and expanded to ensure detention is only applied as a last resort. Pilot projects are one avenue for governments to test and refine alternatives before upscaling to national systems. Incorporating a strong monitoring and evaluation process in a pilot program will ensure that learnings are captured and used to strengthen mechanisms when upscaling. Collaboration between government, civil society, UN, intergovernmental agencies, and migrants and refugees themselves is encouraged to ensure pilots are designed in a way that meets the needs of key stakeholders. Monitoring and evaluation will assess whether alternatives are meeting government objectives and complying with human rights.	International standards are clear: any kind of immigration detention should only be applied as a last resort when all other measures have been shown to be inadequate in the individual case. States must review and improve their laws to ensure compliance with international obligations to avoid arbitrary and unnecessary immigration detention. Specifically, States should establish a presumption against detention in law; establish a mandate to apply alternatives in the first instance; only permit detention when alternatives cannot be applied in the individual case; and prohibit the detention of vulnerable individuals, including children. Ratification of relevant international Treaties is encouraged (ICCPR, ICESCR, OPCAT, CRC, CMW, 1951 Refugee Convention)	Immigration detention must be a last resort, used only when shown to be necessary and proportionate in the individual case on a limited set of grounds as outlined in law. States are encouraged to commit to regularly report on their progress in reducing immigration detention and expanding alternatives at international, regional and national forums on migration. States are required to report on their compliance with relevant human rights in the context of migration as part of their Universal Periodic Review reporting to the Human Rights Council.

Milestones	i. We will develop National Action Plans for reducing unnecessary immigration detention and expanding non-custodial alternatives to detention	ii. We will provide detailed reports on our use of immigration detention and alternatives each year	iii. We will implement and expand alternatives to immigration detention	iv. We will establish a presumption against detention in law and regulation	v. We will report on our progress in reducing unnecessary immigration detention and expanding alternatives at international and regional intergovernmental forums on migration, and during UPR reporting procedures
Timeframe	June 2019	December 2019 and then annually	Pilot projects by June 2020 National rollout by June 2025	By June 2025	Beginning 2019
Examples of practice	All 15 States of the Southern African Development Community have an action plan to implement their commitment - made at MIDSA - to develop alternatives to immigration detention. This is reflected in a Regional Action Plan, with national action plans coordinated by IOM in partnership with government authorities and civil society. The UNHCR Beyond Detention strategy countries have all committed to developing national action plans on immigration detention. These countries are: • Canada • Mexico • Hungary • Indonesia • Indonesia • Indonesia • United Kingdom • United States • Zambia • Malta	A number of countries regularly publish immigration detention statistics. Examples include: • Australia • Canada • United Kingdom UNHCR's Beyond Detention Baseline Report and Progress Report analyse the use of detention and alternatives to detention in 12 countries.	A number of countries have established alternatives to detention, including in the returns process in Belgium, Canada, the Netherlands. • Law and regulation • See Commitment iv Processing systems including screening and assessment • Central America • Germany • Zambia Placement options without restrictions • Indonesia • Yemen • Lithuania • Mexico Placement options with restrictions • Austria • United States • Ireland Rights / minimum standards • Spain • Jordan • Uruguay • Sweden Supports including case management • Honduras • United Kingdom • Transnational Network in West Africa • Netherlands • Germany	Many countries have established a presumption against detention in law and outlined alternatives to detention that can be applied to address identified risks while a person remains in the community. Examples include: Brazil New Zealand Hong Kong Estonia Japan Some countries have a policy or practice to avoid unnecessary detention, but have not established this protection in law. Examples include: Lithuania Mexico Hong Kong	Examples of States that have reported on their use of immigration detention and on their application of alternatives in UPR reports include: • Australia • Finland • United States • United Kingdom The Progress Reports for UNHCR's Beyond Detention Strategy Countries assess, among other things, their work in limiting detention for populations of concern: • Canada • Mexico • Hungary • Thailand • Indonesia • Israel • Lithuania • Malaysia • Malta • Malta

Milestones	i. We will develop National Action Plans for reducing unnecessary immigration detention and expanding non-custodial alternatives to detention	ii. We will provide detailed reports on our use of immigration detention and alternatives each year	iii. We will implement and expand alternatives to immigration detention	iv. We will establish a presumption against detention in law and regulation	v. We will report on our progress in reducing unnecessary immigration detention and expanding alternatives at international and regional intergovernmental forums on migration, and during UPR reporting procedures
Timeframe	June 2019	December 2019 and then annually	Pilot projects by June 2020 National rollout by June 2025	By June 2025	Beginning 2019
Reference documents/ guidelines	Guidelines on alternatives to detention include: IDC's 2015 There Are Alternatives: A Handbook for Preventing Unnecessary Immigration Detention UNHCR's 2011 Back to basics: The right to liberty and security of person and 'alternatives to detention' of asylum-seekers, stateless persons, and other migrants	Data on immigration detention for a wide range of countries is published by the Global Detention Project. Data on alternatives to immigration detention for a range of countries is available in the IDC's Alternatives to Immigration Detention Database Regional Mixed Migration Secretariat. Behind bars: The detention of migrants in and from the East & Horn of Africa	Reports describing and analyzing alternatives to detention include: • The IDC's Community Assessment and Placement model (CAP model) • UNHCR's 2015 Option Paper 2: Options for governments on open reception and alternatives to detention • European Migration Network's 2014 The use of detention and alternatives to detention in the context of immigration policies' • Costello and Kaytaz's 2013 Building empirical evidence into alternatives to detention for UNHCR • IDC's 2017 Alternatives to immigration detention in North Africa	Working Group on Arbitrary Detention Revised Deliberation No. 5 on deprivation of liberty of migrants OHCHR Recommended Principles and Guidelines on Human Rights at International Borders Inter-American Court of Human Rights Advisory Opinion OC-21/14	UPR reporting guidelines OPCAT reporting guidelines APT, UNHCR and IDC Monitoring immigration detention: A practical manual Article on the MIDSA Reporting Process on alternatives to detention

